

As science becomes more international, scientific editorial boards lag behind

In an era of global science, the diversity of editors controlling the flow of scientific information remains virtually unchanged over the last three decades.



Across the world, countries are investing in science and technology, leading to the emergence of scientific hotspots outside of the traditional centers in the U.S. and Europe. However, a study publishing December 12 in the open access journal *PLOS Biology* by Johanna Espin, Emilio Bruna, and colleagues at the

University of Florida finds that while scientists from an increasing number of countries are represented in the scientific journals in which scientists report their results, the editors of these journals are a far less diverse group. Editors ultimately decide which research papers get published in a journal, and also in deciding who the next crop of editors will be. They therefore play a major role in shaping the direction of their research field.

To assess the diversity of various editorial boards the researchers dug through 30 years of back issues from 24 of the leading journals in their field and identified all members of the editorial board – over 3800 scientists – and the country in which they were based. They found that while scientists from all over the world were publishing in these journals, over 70 percent of the editors were from the United States and the United Kingdom, with most of the remainder from other wealthy European countries. Despite their large and productive scientific communities, Mexico, Brazil, China, and other emerging scientific powerhouses in the Global South were barely represented.

Bruna, an ecologist, is also the editor-in-chief of a scientific journal that publishes research on tropical ecosystems, so he knows firsthand the importance of having a geographically diverse editorial board. “Editors living in a particular place know the local ecosystems better than anyone else, so they often point out mistakes I wouldn’t otherwise catch or highlight studies that will have a high local impact. It’s not something most of us give much thought to, but in a given field a relatively small group of people act as the gatekeepers of knowledge. That’s why I was surprised to learn we don’t know much about where around the world these gatekeepers are based.”

Johanna Espin, the lead author on the paper, says, “It is dispiriting to examine three decades of more than 20 journals, and realize that, while the development of science and the total of scientists from the Global South has increased, their representation in editorial boards remains almost unchanged. Given the impact it can have on the diffusion of knowledge, we argue that it is the ethical duty of journals to increase their geographic diversity.”

“People think that the scientific community is agnostic, but as with any established institution, be it the boardroom, Wall Street, or Hollywood, it’s a club,” says Bruna, “and it’s up to us to change the rules of getting into that club.”

Adapted by PLOS Biology from release provided by the University of Florida

#####

In your coverage please use this URL to provide access to the freely available article in *PLOS Biology*:

<http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.2002760>

Press-only preview: <http://plos.io/2zL9B4i>

Contact: Emilio Bruna, embruna@ufl.edu; Johanna Espin, jespin@ufl.edu

Citation: Espin J, Palmas S, Carrasco-Rueda F, Riemer K, Allen PE, Berkebile N, et al. (2017) A persistent lack of international representation on editorial boards in environmental biology. PLoS Biol 15(12): e2002760.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2002760>

Image Caption: While scientists from an increasing number of countries are represented in scientific publications, the editors of scientific journals are a far less diverse group.

Image Credit: Geralt, Pixabay

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

[Back to the Top](#)

About *Biology*

PLOS Biology is an open-access, peer-reviewed journal published by PLOS, featuring research articles of exceptional significance, originality, and relevance in all areas of biology. For more information visit <http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/>, or follow @PLOSbiology on Twitter.

Media and Copyright Information

For information about PLOS Biology relevant to journalists, bloggers and press officers, including details of our press release process and embargo policy, visit <http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/s/press-and-media>.

PLOS Journals publish under a [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits free reuse of all materials published with the article, so long as the work is cited.

About the Public Library of Science

Public Library of Science (PLOS) is a nonprofit Open Access publisher, innovator and advocacy organization dedicated to accelerating progress in science and medicine by leading a transformation in research communication. The PLOS suite of journals contain rigorously peer-reviewed Open Access research articles from all areas of science and medicine, together with expert commentary and analysis. In addition to journals, the organization advances innovations in scientific publishing through Collections, Communities and The PLOS Blog Network. Founded to catalyze a revolution in scientific publishing by demonstrating the value and feasibility of Open Access publication, PLOS is committed to innovative and forward-looking solutions to scientific communication. For more information, visit <http://www.plos.org>.

Disclaimer

This press release refers to upcoming articles in *PLOS Biology*. The releases have been provided by the article authors and/or journal staff. Any opinions expressed in these are the personal

views of the contributors, and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of PLOS. PLOS expressly disclaims any and all warranties and liability in connection with the information found in the release and article and your use of such information.

[Back to the Top](#)